

GENERALIZATION OF FIBONOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

M. Dziemiańczuk¹**Abstract**

Following Lucas and then other Fibonacci people Kwaśniewski had introduced and had started ten years ago the open investigation of the overall F -nomial coefficients which encompass among others Binomial, Gaussian and Fibonomial coefficients with a new unified combinatorial interpretation expressed in terms of cobweb posets' partitions and tilings of discrete hyperboxes. In this paper, we deal with special subfamily of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients.

The main aim of this note is to develop the theory of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients with the help of generating functions. The binomial-like theorem for $\mathcal{T}$ -nomials is delivered here and some consequences of it are drawn. A new combinatorial interpretation of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients is provided and compared with the Konvalina way of objects' selections from weighted boxes. A brief summary of already known properties of F -nomial coefficients is served.

This is The Internet Gian-Carlo Rota Polish Seminar article, No **9**, **Subject 5**, **2009-08-08**, http://ii.uwb.edu.pl/akk/sem/sem_rota.htm

1 Introduction

At first let us recall definition of the F -nomial coefficients and summarize already known properties of these arrays of nonnegative integer numbers. Next, a special family of tileable sequences $\mathcal{T}$ and its corresponding $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients is being considered.

Definition 1.1. Let F stays for a natural numbers' sequence $\{n_F\}_{n \geq 0}$, and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n \geq k$. Then F -nomial coefficient is identified with the symbol

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \frac{n_F!}{k_F!(n-k)_F!} = \frac{n_F^k}{k_F!} \quad (1.1)$$

where $n_F! = n_F(n-1)_F \cdots 1_F$ and $n_F^k = n_F(n-1)_F \cdots (n-k+1)_F$ with $0_F! = n_F^0 = 1$.

Since $F \equiv \{n_F\}_{n \geq 1}$ stays for a sequence of natural numbers, i.e. $n_F = n$, the F -nomial coefficient reduce to ordinary binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \binom{n}{k}$$

For a sequence F of next Fibonacci numbers $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ we obtain Fibonomial coefficient, i.e.

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \frac{\mathcal{F}_n!}{\mathcal{F}_k!\mathcal{F}_{n-k}!} = \binom{n}{k}_{Fib}$$

Finally, if an n -th element of the sequence F is $n_F = n_q = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ we obtain q -binomial (Gaussian) coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \frac{n_q!}{k_q!(n-k)_q!} = \binom{n}{k}_q.$$

¹Institute of Informatics, University of Gdańsk, Poland; E-mail: mdziemianczuk@gmail.com.

Let us review main properties of F -nomial coefficients, where F denote any natural numbers' valued sequence. According to the definition, we have

1. *Complementation Rule*

$$\binom{n}{k}_F = \binom{n}{n-k}_F, \quad (1.2)$$

2. *Iterative Rule*

$$\binom{n}{m}_F \binom{m}{k}_F = \binom{n}{k}_F \binom{n-k}{n-m}_F \quad (1.3)$$

3. *Multinomial coefficients*

$$\binom{n}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}_F = \binom{n}{i_1}_F \binom{n-i_1}{i_2}_F \dots \binom{n-i_1-\dots-i_{k-1}}{i_k}_F \quad (1.4)$$

4. *Inversion formula*

$$\binom{n}{k}_F^{-1} = \binom{n}{k}_F \sum_{s=1}^{n-k} (-1)^s \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_s=n-k \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \geq 1}} \binom{n-k}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s}_F \quad (1.5)$$

while $\binom{n}{n}_F^{-1} = 1$.

Since a special $\mathcal{A}$ -admissible family of natural numbers' valued sequences F , introduced by Kwaśniewski [8, 6, 7] is taken into account, the F -nomial coefficients counts blocks of *cobweb poset's* partitions. This family includes for example Natural numbers, Gaussian and Fibonacci integers.

Combinatorial Interpretation I (“Partitions of cobweb layer”)

Let $\langle \Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_n \rangle$ be a cobweb poset layer with m levels Φ , where $k = n - m + 1$, and $C_{max}(\Pi)$ denote the number of maximal chains of a poset Π . Suppose that F is an *admissible* sequence $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$\langle \Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi_k \rangle = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lambda} \pi_i \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \binom{n}{m}_F \quad (1.6)$$

while $C_{max}(\pi_i) = C_{max}(\langle \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_m \rangle)$ and $\pi_i \cap \pi_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$.

Kwaśniewski posed also the *cobweb tiling* problem [6], where one asks about family of so-called *tileable* sequences $\mathcal{T}$. For such sequences, the F -nomial coefficients obtain additional combinatorial interpretation with respect to the general Interpretation I.

Combinatorial Interpretation II (“Tilings of hyper F -boxes”)

Let $V_{k,n}$ denote an m -dimensional discrete box $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m$ where $|A_s| = (k + s - 1)_F$ and $m = n - k + 1$ (see: [11, 14, 13]). Suppose F is a *tileable* sequence $\mathcal{T}$. Then the value of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equal to the number of m -dimensional translates bricks $V_{1,m}$ that form a tiling of $V_{k,n}$.

For further reading about combinatorial interpretations we refer the reader to [6, 8, 13, 14, 15] and to the references therein.

2 Tileable sequences and $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients

The Kwaśniewski *upside-down* notation [6, 7], invented in the spirit of Knuth [2] is being here taken for granted. For example n -th element of a sequence $F = \{n_F\}_{n \geq 1}$ is $F_n \equiv n_F$, consequently $n_F! = n_F \cdot (n-1)_F \cdots 1_F$, and $n_F^k = n_F \cdot (n-1)_F \cdots (n-k+1)_F$ with $n_F^0 = 0_F! = 1$.

In this section we define so-called tileable sequences $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ for arbitrary p and q . In the next part of this paper, the corresponding $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients, determined by these sequences are considered.

Definition 2.1. A natural numbers' valued sequence $\mathcal{T} \equiv \{n_{\mathcal{T}}\}_{n \geq 1}$ constituted by n -th coefficient of the generating function $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}(x)$ expansion, i.e., $n_{\mathcal{T}} = [x^n] \mathcal{T}_{p,q}(x)$, where

$$\mathcal{T}_{p,q}(x) = 1_{\mathcal{T}} \cdot \frac{x}{(1 - p x)(1 - q x)} \quad (2.1)$$

while $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{R}$ is called *tileable* and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$.

Take a *tileable* sequence $\mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{T}_{p,q}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $1_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then for any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m + k = n$ an n -th element of $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$n_{\mathcal{T}} = (k + m)_{\mathcal{T}} = p^m k_{\mathcal{T}} + q^k m_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (2.2)$$

We may generalize the above as follows. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be a composition $\langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \rangle$ of the number n into k non-zero parts. Then an n -th element of the sequence $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies

$$n_{\mathcal{T}} = (b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_k)_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^k p^{(b_{i+1} + \dots + b_k)} q^{(b_1 + \dots + b_{i-1})} (b_i)_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (2.3)$$

An explicit formula for n -th term of $\mathcal{T}$ is given by

$$n_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^n - p^n}{q - p}, & q \neq p, \\ n q^{n-1}, & q = p. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

for $n \geq 1$. In the other hand we have

$$n_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{i=1}^n q^{(n-i)} p^{(i-1)}. \quad (2.5)$$

The explicit formula of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients while the sequence $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ is

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(p^{n-i+1} - q^{n-i+1})}{(p^i - q^i)}, & p \neq q, \\ \binom{n}{k} p^{k(n-k)}, & q = p. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Due to (2.2) we can show that $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients satisfy binomial-like recurrence relation, i.e., for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = p^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{\mathcal{T}} + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} \quad (2.7)$$

with initial values $\binom{n}{0}_{\mathcal{T}} = \binom{n}{n}_{\mathcal{T}} = 1$.

3 Generating functions of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients

In the sequel, $\mathcal{T}$ stand for a *tileable* sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}_n(x)$ and $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$ be ordinary generating functions*

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} x^k, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} x^k. \quad (3.1b)$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_n(x)$ and $\mathcal{B}_n(x)$ are given as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x\right) \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x)} \quad (3.2b)$$

with $\mathcal{A}_0(x) = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(x) = 0$.

Proof. It is a simple exercise using ordinary generating functions. We apply recurrence (2.7) to (3.1a) and (3.1b) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_n(x) &= (1 - p^{(n-1)} x) \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(q x), & \mathcal{A}_0(x) &= 1; \\ \mathcal{B}_n(x) &= \frac{1}{(1 - p^{(n-1)} x)} \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(q x), & \mathcal{B}_0(x) &= 0, \mathcal{B}_1(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}. \end{aligned}$$

And then it follows immediately that (3.2a) and (3.2b) hold by induction. ■

We can infer also another form of the generating function $\mathcal{A}_n(x)$ of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients. Let $\mathcal{C}_n(x)$ be a generating function defined as follows

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then simple calculation using recurrence (2.7) yields

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x) = p^{n-1} (1 - x) \mathcal{C}_{n-1}(xp/q)$$

which immediately results in

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(p^{(i-1)} - q^{(i-1)} x \right) \quad (3.4)$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(x) = 1$.

Corollary 1. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then the value of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficient is equal*

$$\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n} \lambda_{b_1}^n \lambda_{b_2}^n \cdots \lambda_{b_k}^n, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\lambda_i^n = q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let us consider generating function (3.2b). From Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+k-1}{k}_T &= [x^k] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x)} = [x^k] \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{(i-1)j} p^{(n-i)j} x^j \\ &= [x^k] \sum_{k \geq 0} c_{n,k} x^k = c_{n,k} \end{aligned}$$

where coefficients $c_{n,k}$ take a form

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,k} &= \sum_{\substack{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_n=k \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \geq 0}} q^{(1-1)a_1} p^{(n-1)a_1} q^{(2-1)a_2} p^{(n-2)a_2} \dots q^{(n-1)a_n} p^{(n-n)a_n} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_n=k \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \geq 0}} \left(q^0 p^{(n-1)} \right)^{a_1} \left(q^1 p^{(n-2)} \right)^{a_2} \dots \left(q^{(n-1)} p^0 \right)^{a_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that there are at most k non-zero variables a_i in the sum $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = k$, where $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, for fixed k we choose a multiset B (with repetition allowed) of k these variables a_i that are non-zero, i.e. $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$ where $1 \leq b_i \leq n$ and $1 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,k} &= \sum_{1 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n} \left(q^{(b_1-1)} p^{(n-b_1)} \right) \left(q^{(b_2-1)} p^{(n-b_2)} \right) \dots \left(q^{(b_k-1)} p^{(n-b_k)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n} \lambda_{b_1}^n \lambda_{b_2}^n \dots \lambda_{b_k}^n \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\lambda_i^n = q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)}$. Hence the thesis. ■

Corollary 2. *Let k, n be natural numbers. Then the following hold*

$$\binom{n}{k}_T q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} = \sum_{1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k \leq n} \lambda_{b_1}^n \lambda_{b_2}^n \dots \lambda_{b_k}^n \quad (3.7)$$

with $\lambda_i^n = q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Consider generating function (3.2a). It is easy to see that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i^n x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k \leq n} \lambda_{b_1}^n \lambda_{b_2}^n \dots \lambda_{b_k}^n \right) (-1)^k x^k$$

where b_i denote indices of chosen factors $(1 - \lambda_i^n x)$. Combining (3.1a) with (3.2a) finishes the proof. ■

Since p and q are natural numbers, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 provide a combinatorial interpretation expressed in the language of object selections' from weighted boxes, where weight of k -th of n boxes is given by λ_k^n . Let us sum up the above in the following corollary. Compare it also with the Konvalina [3, 4] unified interpretation of Binomial, Gaussian coefficients and Stirling numbers.

Corollary 3. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tileable sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$, where p, q are natural numbers. Suppose we have n boxes, such that i -th box contains $\lambda_i^n = q^{(i-1)}p^{(n-i)}$ distinguish balls, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the value of $\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equal to the number of ways to select k balls from n boxes with box repetition allowed and $\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}}q^{\binom{k}{2}}p^{\binom{k}{2}}$ without repetition correspondingly.

Note: the appearance of nonnegative integers p and q gives another ways of formulation the combinatorial interpretation. For example, we can express it as a k -selection of pair of balls from two groups of boxes which size is dependent on p and q respectively etc.

Corollary 4. The $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients designated by a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ satisfy orthogonality relation, i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=0}^s \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} (-1)^k p^{\binom{k}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n+s-k-1}{n-1}_{\mathcal{T}} = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

or equivalent

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} (-1)^{n-k} p^{\binom{n-k}{2}} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

for any $n, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k x^k$ and $\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_k x^k$ be generating functions of the form (3.2a) and (3.2b) accordingly. Observe that $\mathcal{A}_n(x)\mathcal{B}_n(x) = 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Therefore from the Cauchy product we have

$$\mathcal{A}_n(x) \cdot \mathcal{B}_n(x) = \sum_{s \geq 0} c_s x^s = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c_s = \sum_{k=0}^s a_k b_{s-k} = 0, \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

The second form (3.9) we can infer due to $\mathcal{B}_n(x)\mathcal{A}_n(x) = 1$. Hence the thesis. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 1. Let k, n be natural numbers. Suppose $p \neq q$, then the value of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficient is equal to

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{(k-i)} \frac{(\mu_i)^n}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \prod_{j=i+1}^k (\mu_j - \mu_i)} \quad (3.10)$$

with $\mu_s = q^s p^{k-s}$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Proof. We prove (3.10) using partial fractions expansion of the generating function (3.2b), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x)} \quad (3.11)$$

If we multiply both sides of (3.11) by $\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - q^{(j-1)} p^{(n-j)} x)$, then we can rewrite above as

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (1 - q^{(j-1)} p^{(n-j)} x).$$

To find each a_i , let $x = 1/(q^{i-1} p^{n-i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; and observe that all summands instead of i -th vanish, hence

$$a_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(j-i)} p^{(i-j)})}.$$

Combining (3.1b) with (3.11) yields to

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} &= [x^k] \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(i-1)} p^{(n-i)} x)} = [x^k] \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot q^{(i-1)k} p^{(n-i)k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(j-i)} p^{(i-j)})} \right) (q^i p^{n-i-1})^k \end{aligned}$$

Let us make substitution $n = n' - k + 1$ and use symmetry rule (1.2) of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients

$$\binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \binom{n'}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \binom{n'}{n'-k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n'-k} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n'-k} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(j-i)} p^{(i-j)})} \right) (q^i p^{n'-k-i})^k.$$

Replacing letter $k \geq 0$ by $(n' - k') \geq 0$ and next setting $\mu_i = q^i p^{(k'-i)}$ finishes the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n'}{k'}_F &= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{k'} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{(j-i)} p^{(i-j)})} \right) (q^i p^{k'-i})^{n'-k'} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} \frac{(q^i p^{k'-i})^{n'}}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{k'} (q^i p^{(k'-i)} - q^j p^{(k'-j)})} = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} \frac{(-1)^{(k'-i)} (\mu_i)^{n'}}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \prod_{j=i+1}^k (\mu_j - \mu_i)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

■

Note, that (3.10) is well defined for $n < k$, even for n being a complex number.

Example 3.1. Take a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{q,1}$ of Gaussian integers with arbitrary $q \neq 1$. Then q -binomial coefficient is given by

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \frac{q^{(k-i)(n-i) - \binom{k-i}{2}}}{\prod_{j=1}^i (q^j - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{k-i} (q^j - 1)}.$$

Corollary 5. Let k be a natural number. Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $p \neq q$ the following identity takes place

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{(k-i)} \frac{(\mu_i)^k}{\prod_{j=0}^{i-1} (\mu_i - \mu_j) \prod_{j=i+1}^k (\mu_j - \mu_i)} = 1 \quad (3.13)$$

where $\mu_i = q^i p^{(k-i)}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Proof. Indeed. Let F be a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$. Then from definition of F -nomial coefficients we have

$$\binom{k}{k}_F = 1$$

On the other hand, setting $n = k$ in (3.10) completes the proof. ■

Proposition 2. Let $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$. Then the following relation holds

$$\binom{n+m}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{s=0}^k p^{(m-k+s)} q^{(k-s)(n-s)} \binom{n}{s}_{\mathcal{T}} \binom{m}{k-s}_{\mathcal{T}} \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. It is an extension of the q -Vandermonde identity. Since $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial satisfy (3.5) we can prove (3.14) using Konvalina [3] way for q -binomial coefficients. Assume $N = n + m - k + 1$ and $\lambda_i^N = q^{(i-1)} p^{(N-i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then from (3.5) we have that

$$\binom{n+m}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq N} \lambda_{b_1}^N \lambda_{b_2}^N \dots \lambda_{b_k}^N.$$

We separate the above sum into $k+1$ sums, such that the first summation is over $\mathcal{Sum}(0) := (n+1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n+m-k+1)$, next s -th for $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$ takes a form

$$\mathcal{Sum}(s) := (1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_s \leq (n-s+1) \leq b_{s+1} \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n+m-k+1).$$

In this convention we show that

$$\binom{n+m}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{s=0}^k \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}(s)} \lambda_{b_1}^N \lambda_{b_2}^N \dots \lambda_{b_k}^N = \sum_{s=0}^k \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}(s)} \left(q^{(b_1-1)} p^{(N-b_1)} \right) \dots \left(q^{(b_k-1)} p^{(N-b_k)} \right).$$

Consider now an s -th term of the above sum, and observe that $\mathcal{Sum}(s)$ might be separated into two conditions

$$\mathcal{Sum}(s) = (1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_s \leq n-s+1) \wedge (n-s+1 \leq b_{s+1} \leq \dots \leq b_k \leq n+m-k+1)$$

Take $\mathcal{Sum}(s) = \mathcal{Sum}_1(s) \wedge \mathcal{Sum}_2(s)$, where

$$\mathcal{Sum}_1(s) := (1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_s \leq n-s+1), \mathcal{Sum}_2(s) := (1 \leq b'_1 \leq \dots \leq b'_{k-s} \leq m-(k-s)+1).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\mathcal{Sum}(s)} \lambda_{b_1}^N \lambda_{b_2}^N \dots \lambda_{b_k}^N = \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}_1(s)} \lambda_{b_1}^N \dots \lambda_{b_s}^N \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}_2(s)} \lambda_{b'_1+n-s}^N \dots \lambda_{b'_{k-s}+n-s}^N.$$

Observe that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$; the coefficient $\lambda_{b_i}^N$ is equal

$$\lambda_{b_i}^N = q^{(b_i-1)} p^{(n+m-k+1-b_i)} = q^{(b_i-1)} p^{(n-s+1-b_i)} \cdot p^{(m+s-k)} = p^{(m+s-k)} \lambda_{b_i}^{n-s+1},$$

while $\lambda_{b'_j+n-s}^N$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-s$ takes a form

$$\lambda_{b'_j+n-s}^N = q^{(b'_j-1)} p^{(m-(k-s)+1-b'_j)} \cdot q^{(n-s)} = q^{(n-s)} \lambda_{b'_j}^{m-(k-s)+1}$$

Hence due to equation (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+m}{k}_{\mathcal{T}} &= \sum_{s=0}^k p^{(m+s-k)s} q^{(n-s)(k-s)} \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}_1(s)} \lambda_{b_1}^{n-s+1} \dots \lambda_{b_s}^{n-s+1} \sum_{\mathcal{Sum}_2(s)} \lambda_{b'_1}^{m-(k-s)+1} \dots \lambda_{b'_{k-s}}^{m-(k-s)+1} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^k p^{(m+s-k)s} q^{(n-s)(k-s)} \binom{n}{s}_{\mathcal{T}} \binom{m}{k-s}_F. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

■

4 The Binomial-like theorem

In this section, we generalize generating function (3.2a) to the so called binomial-like theorem (compare with Fib-binomial theorem in [12]).

Theorem 2. *Let n be a natural number $n \geq 1$. Then for any sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (x + p^{(n-i)} q^{(i-1)} y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_T q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} y^k x^{n-k} \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (p^i x + q^i y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_T q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{n-k}{2}} y^k x^{n-k} \quad (4.1b)$$

Proof. We first prove (4.1a). Let $\mathcal{C}_n(x, y)$ be an ordinary generating function

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_T q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} x^{n-k} y^k$$

Applying recurrence relation (2.7) of F -nomial coefficients for $k \geq 1$ yields

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x, y) - x^n = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left\{ p^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_T + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_T \right\} q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} x^{n-k} y^k.$$

Let us observe that

$$\mathcal{C}_{n-1}(x, qy) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{k}_T q^{\binom{k}{2}} p^{\binom{k}{2}} q^k y^k x^{n-1-k}.$$

Now, it follows easily that $\mathcal{C}_0(x, y) = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$ function $\mathcal{C}_n(x, y)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{C}_n(x, y) = (x + p^{n-1} y) \mathcal{C}_{n-1}(x, qy).$$

We can now proceed by induction over n which completes the proof of (4.1a). In the same way we prove the second form (4.1b). However generating function $\mathcal{C}_n(x, y)$ is replaced by

$$\mathcal{D}_n(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_T p^{\binom{n-k}{2}} x^{n-k} q^{\binom{k}{2}} y^k$$

which follows to recurrence

$$\mathcal{D}_n(x, y) = (x + y) \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(px, qy).$$

with $\mathcal{D}_0(x, y) = 1$. And then it is easily seen to be (4.1b). Hence the thesis. ■

Remark. It is interesting to confront the Theorem 2 with logarithmic Fib-binomial theorem in [12] valid for a very broad class of F -sequences - see Remark at the end of [12].

5 Examples

It is worth pointing out that the Kwaśniewski F -nomial coefficients give us a unified interpretation of Binomial, Gaussian and Fibonomial coefficients. Recently it was showed [16] that these coefficients counts also bipartite, k -colored multi graphs and its inversion formula is related with the number of directed acyclic multi graphs.

Throughout this part we shall consequently use the condition convention $n = k + m$.

5.1 Binomial coefficients

If F is a sequence of next natural numbers, or more general $n_F = 1_F \cdot n$ for any $1_F \in \mathbb{N}$. Then F -nomials reduce to the basic Binomial coefficients. More precise, let F be a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ with $p = q = 1$, then $n_F = k_F + m_F$ and

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} \equiv \binom{n}{k}, \quad \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} + \binom{n-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}}.$$

Theorem 2 yields to well known binomial theorem

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} x^{n-k} y^k$$

From inversion formula (1.5) we obtain that

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}}^{-1} = (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}}$$

and then

$$(x-1)^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} (-1)^{n-k} x^k \Leftrightarrow x^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}} (x-1)^k.$$

5.2 Gaussian coefficient

If we take a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ where $p = 1$. Then we obtain well-known q -Calculus with Gaussian coefficients, i.e., let $n_F \equiv n_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$, then $n_F = k_F + q^k m_F$ and

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}} \equiv \binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{n_q!}{k_q!}, \quad \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}} + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}}. \quad (5.1)$$

with q -Binomial formula

$$(1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^{n-1}x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_q x^k \quad (5.2)$$

and corresponding one

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^{n-1}x)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n+k}{k}_q x^n. \quad (5.3)$$

By Inversion formula (1.5) we immediately see that

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}}^{-1} = (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}}$$

and consequently

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}} (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} x^k \Leftrightarrow x^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_{1,q}} \Phi_k(x)$$

where $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (x - q^s)$.

5.3 Fibonomial coefficient

In this section we show that the Fibonacci numbers define certain *tileable* sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$. Therefore in this case, the $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients reduce to Fibonomial coefficients. Moreover an m -dimensional F -box $V_{k,n}$, such that

$$V_{k,n} = [k_F] \times [(k+1)_F] \times \cdots \times [n_F]$$

where s_F stays for s -th Fibonacci number might be filled with translates bricks $V_{1,m}$, where $m = n - k + 1$ [14].

Let α be natural number. If we set $\varphi = (\alpha \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4})/2$ then a sequence F takes a form $n_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 4}} (\varphi_+^n - \varphi_-^n)$ with recurrence $n_F = \varphi_+^m k_F + \varphi_-^k m_F$, where $1_F = 1$ and $2_F = \alpha$. Note, that φ_+, φ_- are real numbers. Therefore due to combinatorial interpretation we use another recurrence that Fibonacci numbers satisfy. Namely, let any m, k such that $n = m + k$. Then

$$n_F = (m-1)_F \cdot k_F + (k+1)_F \cdot m_F \quad (5.4)$$

while $1_F = 1$ and $2_F = \alpha$. If we put $\alpha = 1$ we obtain sequence of Fibonacci numbers and Fibonomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{k}_{Fib} = (m-1)_F \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{Fib} + (k+1)_F \binom{n-1}{k}_{Fib}. \quad (5.5)$$

Observe that $\varphi_+ \cdot \varphi_- = -1$, then the generating function takes a form

$$\prod_{s=1}^n \left(1 - \varphi_-^{(s-1)} \varphi_+^{(n-s)} x\right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{Fib} x^k \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\frac{x^k}{\prod_{s=0}^k \left(1 - \varphi_+^{(k-s)} \varphi_-^s x\right)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_{Fib} x^n. \quad (5.7)$$

5.4 Counting graphs $G(\alpha, n, k)$

Labeled bipartite α -multigraph $G(\alpha, n, k)$ is a bipartite graph with n vertices (k of them is in one of the two disjoint vertices' sets) with multiedges, such that any two vertices might be connected by at most $(\alpha - 1)$ edges. We will denote by $\beta_{\alpha, n, k}$ the number of these graphs $G(\alpha, n, k)$.

Let consider a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{p,q}$ such that $p = q$. We can easily see that n -th term of $\mathcal{T}_{p,p}$ is given $n_F = 1_F \cdot np^{n-1}$ where $1_F \in \mathbb{N}$. For simplicity of notation we write $\mathcal{T}_p$ instead of $\mathcal{T}_{p,p}$. It turns out that if $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then F -nomial coefficients specified by sequence $\mathcal{T}_p$ define the number $\beta_{p,n,k}$, i.e.,

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p} = \binom{n}{k} \cdot p^{k(n-k)} = \beta_{p,n,k} \quad (5.8)$$

A recurrence relation of F -nomial coefficients for a sequence $F = \mathcal{T}_p$ takes a form

$$\beta_{p,n,k} = \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p} = p^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_{\mathcal{T}_p} + p^k \binom{n-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p}$$

with generating function

$$\left(1 - p^{(n-1)} x\right)^n = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k p^{2 \cdot \binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p} x^k, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{\left(1 - p^{(n-1)} x\right)^n} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n+k-1}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p} x^k. \quad (5.10)$$

If $A_p(n)$ denote the number of labeled acyclic p -multi digraphs with n -nodes, i.e., such that any two nodes of it might be connected by at most $(p-1)$ arcs. Then following [16] we have

$$\binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p}^{-1} = (-1)^{n-k} A_p(n-k) \binom{n}{k}_{\mathcal{T}_p}.$$

while $A_p(0) = 1$.

To be next...

Corollary 3 provides a new combinatorial interpretation of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients. It is also very similar to the Konvaline unified interpretation of Binomial, Gaussian coefficients and Stirling numbers of two kinds. However the recurrence relation of Konvalina numbers do not reduce to recurrence of $\mathcal{T}$ -nomial coefficients. We expect that there is a way to generalize both of them.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my Professor A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski for his very helpful comments, suggestions and improvements of this note.

References

- [1] Edouard Lucas, *Thorie des Fonctions Numriques Simplement Priodiques*, American Journal of Mathematics, Volume **1**, (1878): 184-240 (Translated from the French by Sidney Kravitz , Edited by Douglas Lind Fibonacci Association 1969.
- [2] Donald E. Knuth, *Two notes on notation*, American Mathematical Monthly Vol. **99** , Issue 5 (May 1992) 403-422.
- [3] J. Konvalina, *Generalized binomial coefficients and the subset-subspace problem*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **21** (1998) 228-240.
- [4] J. Konvalina, *A Unified Interpretation of the Binomial Coefficients, the Stirling Numbers, and the Gaussian Coefficients*, Amer. Math. Monthly **245** 107 (2000) : 901-910.
- [5] E. Krot, *An Introduction to Finite Fibonomial Calculus*, Central European Journal of Mathematics 2 (5) (2005) 754-766.
- [6] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *On cobweb posets and their combinatorially admissible sequences*, Adv. Studies Contemp. Math. Vol. **18** (1), 2009.
- [7] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Cobweb posets as noncommutative prefabs*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. Vol. **14** (1) 2007. pp. 37-47.
- [8] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Natural join construction of graded posets versus ordinal sum and discrete hyper boxes*, Preprint arXiv:0907.2595, 15 Jul 2009.

- [9] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *More on combinatorial interpretation of the fibonomial coefficients*, ArXiv:math/0402344, 24 Oct 2004.
- [10] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Comments on combinatorial interpretation of fibonomial coefficients - an email style letter*, Bulletin of the ICA vol. **42** (2004) 10-11, arXiv:0802.1381, 11 Feb 2008.
- [11] A. K. Kwaśniewski, M. Dziemiańczuk *On cobweb posets' most relevant codings*, Preprint: arXiv:0804.1728v1, 10 Apr 2008.
- [12] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *The logarithmic Fib-binomial formula* Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. v.9 No.1 (2004) 19-26.
- [13] A. Krzysztof Kwaśniewski, *Natural join construction of graded posets versus ordinal sum and discrete hyper-boxes* Preprint arXiv:0907.2595, 15 Jul 2009.
- [14] M. Dziemianczuk, *On Cobweb Posets and Discrete F-Boxes Tilings*, Preprint: arXiv:0802.3473, 2 Apr 2009.
- [15] M. Dziemianczuk, *On multi F-nomial coefficients and Inversion formula for F-nomial coefficients*, Preprint arXiv:0806.3626, 23 Jun 2008.
- [16] M. Dziemianczuk, *Counting Bipartite, k-Colored and Directed Acyclic Multi Graphs Through F-nomial coefficients*, Preprint arXiv:0901.1337, 11 Jan 2009.
- [17] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol.1*, Cambridge University Press 2002.
- [18] R. Graham, D. Knuth, O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1989.
- [19] M. Ward, *A Calculus of Sequences*, Amer. J. Math. Vol. **58**, 1936, pp.255-266.
- [20] Herbert S. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology*, Academic Press, New York 1990.